

On the Use of Fractional Polynomial Models to Assess Preventive Aspect of Variables: An Example in Prevention of Mortality Following HIV Infection

Mohammad Reza Baneshi^{1,2}, Fatemeh Nakhaee^{4,2}, Matthew Law³

¹Research Center for Modeling in Health, Institute for Futures Studies in Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran, ²Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran, ³The Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia, ⁴Centre for Global Health Research, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence to:

Dr. Mohammad Reza Baneshi,
Research Center for Modeling in
Healths Institute for Futures Studies
in Health Kerman University of
Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran.
E-mail: m_baneshi@kmu.ac.ir

Date of Submission: Feb 17, 2012

Date of Acceptance: Nov 13, 2012

How to cite this article: Baneshi MR, Nakhaee F, Law M. On the use of fractional polynomial models to assess preventive aspect of variables: An example in prevention of mortality following HIV infection. *Int J Prev Med* 2013;4:414-9.

ABSTRACT

Background: Identification of disease risk factors can help in the prevention of diseases. In assessing the predictive value of continuous variables, a routine procedure is to categorize the factors. This yield to inability to detect non-linear relationship, if exist. Multivariate fractional polynomial (MFP) modeling is a flexible method to reveal non-linear associations. We aim to demonstrate the impact of choice of risk function on the significance of variables.

Methods: We selected 6508 HIV-infected persons registered in the Australia National HIV Registry between 1980 and 2003 to assess the predictors associated with the risk of death after HIV infection prior to AIDS. First, CD4 count as a categorical factor with three other categorical variables (age, sex, and HIV exposure category) was entered into the Cox regression model. Second, CD4 counts as a continuous variable along with other categorical variables were entered into the fractional polynomial (FP) model.

Results: Both the Cox and FP models showed age ≥ 40 years and hemophiliac patients were significantly associated with increased risk of death. In the categorized model, the CD4 variable did not reach the significance level. However, this variable was highly significant in the MFP model. The FP model showed slightly better performance in terms of discrimination ability and goodness of fit.

Conclusions: The FP model is a flexible method in detecting the predictive effect of continuous variables. This method enhances the ability to assess the predictive ability of variables and improves model performance.

Keywords: Continuous variables, fractional polynomial, HIV/AIDS, modeling

INTRODUCTION

Prognostic models are tools that help in decision making, which combine items of patient data to predict clinical outcomes (such as death due to HIV/AIDS). This in turn helps the management of future patients to prevent adverse events. Therefore, identification of risk factors to be used as predictors is necessary.

HIV exposure category, and CD4 counts. Since in Australia, the majority of HIV is transmitted through male homosexual contact, HIV exposure category was combined with sex into a single covariate categorized as male homosexual contact and heterosexual contact, injecting drug use, recipient of blood products, and “other exposures” – for males and females separately.

Statistical analysis

Categorization model

In the categorized model, CD4 counts were categorized into four levels including <200, 200-300, 300-500, and ≥ 500 . CD4 less than 200 has been accepted as the standard definition of AIDS. Other cut-offs were selected so as to have enough number of patients in each group. Then a multifactorial Cox regression model in conjunction with ENTER variable selection method was fitted to all categorical variables.

Fractional polynomial modeling

FP modeling is a powerful tool to detect non-linear associations.^[18] There are two classes of FP: First degree (FP1) and second degree (FP2) FPs.^[19] The first degree FP technique (FP1), performing eight tests, detects whether fit is improved by a power transformation of the variable X , X^p , where P is chosen from $S = \{-2, -1, -0.5, 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3\}$. FP with value of $P = 1$ is synonymous with a linear regression and $P = 0$ indicates that a logarithmic transformation is required for optimum linear modeling of a risk factor. A polynomial model of degree 2 (FP2) is an extension to $\beta_1 X^{p_1} + \beta_2 X^{p_2}$ which compares 36 different power combinations. It is observed that $(p_1 = 1, p_2 = 2)$ is equivalent to quadratic regression. The case $p_1 = p_2$ is known as repeated power model and has been defined as $\beta_1 X^p + \beta_2 X^p \text{Ln } X$.^[18]

A multivariate fractional polynomial (MFP) approach was used for predictive model fitting using the ENTER method, which after fitting of categorical variables ascertains whether model fit would be improved by using a polynomial form for CD4 counts. MFP modeling involves three main steps: Test of inclusion, test of non-linearity of effect, and test of simplicity of power transformation required. For the CD4 variable, the following steps were carried out in the multifactorial setting: Fit the best FP2 model and test it against the null model (test of inclusion). If it is not significant, drop the variable and stop. If it is significant, test the

best FP2 versus a linear fit (test of non-linearity). If it is not significant, declare the final model to be a straight line and stop. If it is significant, test the best FP2 versus the best FP1 (test of simplicity, in terms of goodness of fit). If the test is significant, declare the final model to be FP2. Otherwise, the best model would be the best FP1.

We should emphasize that there are alternative modeling strategies to deal with non-linear effects such as quadratic regression and spline-based models. However, it has been shown that FP is the best method to capture the effect of variables. Therefore, we focused only on the FP technique.

Comparison of performance of models

Discrimination of models was compared using Harrell's Concordance Index (C-Index). This statistic varies between 0.5 and 1 where values close to 1 indicate high discrimination power. Then, the bootstrap procedure with 200 replications was applied to estimate the bias-corrected C-indices.

Software

A series of packages that work under the R software (version 2.5.1) were used.^[20] The FP model was developed using the MFP package. Performance of models was assessed using Design and Hmisc libraries.

RESULTS

The study population consisted of 6508 HIV-infected individuals registered in the NHR between 1980 and 2003 in Australia. The median follow-up time was 3.4 years. The median age at HIV diagnosis was 34 years. The majority of HIV transmission was reported to be through male homosexual sex (71%). The median CD4 lymphocyte count at diagnosis was 410 cells/ μl [Table 1].

The histogram of the CD4 counts suggests a positively skewed distribution. First, second, and third quartiles were 190, 410, and 620, respectively. Minimum and maximum values were 1 and 4180, respectively. Table 2 compares the Cox model (where CD4 was categorized into four levels) and the MFP model in the case of linearity and polynomial association between predictors and mortality following HIV infection prior to AIDS. In the categorical model, age 40 years or more (compared to ages under 40 years as the reference category) ($P = 0.001$) and hemophiliac patients (compared to homosexual men) ($P = 0.01$)

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of HIV diagnoses

Characteristics	HIV
Total number	6508
Age ¹	
Median (IQR)	34 (28-42)
<40 (%)	4542 (70)
≥40 (%)	1966 (30)
Reported HIV exposure (%)	
Male-homosexual	4637 (71)
Male-heterosexual	428 (7)
Female-heterosexual	418 (6)
Male-IDU	164 (3)
Female-IDU	163 (3)
Male-blood	47 (<1)
Female-blood	39 (<1)
Male-other exposures ²	362 (5)
Female-other exposures ³	250 (4)
CD4 (cells/μl)	
Median (IQR)	410 (190-620)
<200 (%)	1653 (25)
200-300 (%)	663 (10)
300-500 (%)	1662 (26)
≥500 (%)	2530 (39)

¹At HIV diagnosis, ²From high-prevalence country, no sexual contact and unknown exposure, ³From high-prevalence country, no sexual contact, vertical transmission, and unknown exposure, IQR=Interquartile Range, IDU=Injecting drug use

were associated with the increased risk of death. Estimated Hazard Ratios (HR) were 2.3 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.89, 2.79) and 2.19 (95% CI 1.23, 3.93), respectively. Similar results were observed in the FP model.

However, in the categorized model, the CD4 variable did not reach the significance level. HIV-diagnosed cases with CD4 counts less than 200 were chosen as the reference group. The HR of death for those with CD4 counts in the range 200-300 and 300-500 was not significantly different with the baseline group. Only CD4 counts higher than 500 were associated with 29% reduction in the risk of death ($P = 0.02$).

On the other hand, in the MFP analysis, the test of inclusion of the CD4 counts to the model was highly significant with $P = 0.001$. P value corresponding to the linear Cox model was 0.23. Therefore, applying the FP1 and FP2 models, we checked the test of non-linearity. A P value of 0.001 suggested that the nature of the association

was not linear. The best FP1 model suggested a logarithmic transformation (optimum power was 0). We offered the logarithm of CD4 counts to the univariate and multifactorial models (after adjustment for age and HIV/sex variables). In the univariate model, a P value of 0.01 indicated a significant association between logarithm of CD4 counts and survival following HIV prior to AIDS. However, this effect was not observed in multifactorial modeling ($P = 0.24$).

We finally performed FP2 and compared goodness of fit of the FP2 and FP1 models. It has been shown that FP2 provides the best fit with P value of 0.002. The optimum powers selected were 1 and 1.

We then compared the performance of models in terms of goodness of fit and discrimination ability. Keeping the CD4 count in the continuous form and expressing its effect with the MFP model led to an improvement of two percentage points in the discrimination ability (62% vs. 60%).

DISCUSSION

In medical applications, researchers often categorize continuous covariates prior to modeling analyses. From the statistical point of view, this eliminates the need for linearity assumption and allows for simple interpretation of results.^[4] On the other hand, dichotomization can result in the loss of information and power, if a linear rather than threshold association pertains.^[21,22]

A comparison of the ability of different statistical techniques to detect the correct form of risk function for continuous variable shows that FP is the best technique to deal with “linear and polynomial” effects, with noticeable potential to detect threshold effects.^[5] Furthermore, and importantly, FP does not inflate type one error.^[23]

CD4 count is one of the most important key factors used to predict mortality after HIV diagnosis and also to initiate antiretroviral therapy in HIV infection.^[7,14] In a previous population-based study in Australia, the Cox regression model and then the Weibull model were fitted to both national HIV and AIDS databases to predict risk factors associated with survival and also mortality following both HIV and AIDS, respectively.^[9] Although CD4 count was entered into the Cox model as a categorical variable, no significant association was found between CD4 count level and survival following HIV infection

Table 2: Comparison between categorical and fractional polynomial risk functions on the prediction of mortality following HIV infection prior to AIDS

Covariates	Cox model HR ¹		FP model	
	(95% CI) ²	<i>P</i>	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>
Age				
<40	1		1	
≥40	2.30 (1.89-2.79)	<0.001	2.32 (1.91-2.81)	<0.001
Reported HIV exposure				
Male-homosexual	1		1	
Male-heterosexual	1.34 (0.95-1.90)	0.10	1.33 (0.94-1.88)	0.11
Female-heterosexual	0.67 (0.43-1.03)	0.07	0.66 (0.43-1.02)	0.06
Male-IDU	1.48 (0.87-2.54)	0.15	1.45 (0.85-2.48)	0.17
Female-IDU	0.87 (0.52-1.48)	0.61	0.88 (0.52-1.48)	0.62
Male-blood	2.19 (1.23-3.93)	0.01	2.24 (1.26-4.01)	0.01
Female-blood	0.93 (0.34-2.50)	0.88	0.95 (0.35-2.55)	0.91
Male-other exposures ³	1.21 (0.80-1.83)	0.36	1.16 (0.76-1.76)	0.49
Female-other exposures ⁴	0.86 (0.48-1.53)	0.61	0.87 (0.49-1.55)	0.63
CD4 (cells/μl)				
<200	1		0.80 (0.71, 0.90)	<0.001
200-300	0.71 (0.49-1.02)	0.060	1.08 (1.04, 1.12)	<0.001
300-500	0.81 (0.62-1.09)	0.17		
≥500	0.71 (0.54-0.94)	0.02		

¹Hazard Ratio, ²Confidence interval, ³From high prevalence country, no sexual contact and unknown exposure, ⁴From high prevalence country, no sexual contact, vertical transmission, and unknown exposure, ⁵In the FP model, the first and second HRs are associated with two terms required to capture effect of this variable= $CD4/100$ and $(CD4/100)*Ln((CD4/100)+10)$, FP=Fractional polynomial, IDU=Injecting drug use

prior to AIDS. Therefore, CD4 count was not entered in the Weibull model to predict future mortality following HIV infection before AIDS consequently. In this study, we selected those HIV diagnoses with CD4 counts data available out of all HIV diagnoses, which were entered in those analyses. In this study, comparison between the Cox regression and MFP models produced no significant association between categorized CD4 counts and survival after HIV infection by fitting the Cox model once again. On the other hand, we found a significant association by using the MFP model.

It is emphasized, however, that the flexibility of the FP models can result in serious over-fitting with results, which contradict current medical knowledge. To avoid such conflicting results, achieving consistency should be the primary purpose.^[6] Here, our finding is in agreement with other studies regarding the role of CD4 count in predicting mortality among HIV-infected persons.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have compared the effect of

two risk functions on the assessment of predictive value of variables by using an example of survival data. Although the categorization method has the advantage of easy interpretation, this method cannot deal with polynomial effects. Royston and Sauerbrie^[24] explained that a realistic FP function can discover polynomial, monotone, and linear relationship. Our analyses have fortified the FP model in showing a monotonically association between a continuous variable, CD4, and risk of death. Furthermore, having obtained the same results as the categorical method in dealing with categorized variables in a cross-sectional survival data setting, our analysis has indicated one of the advantages of the FP model such as generalizability to a different setting, which has also been emphasized by other studies.^[24] In contrast to a previous study^[9] in which the CD4 counts failed to enter the predictive model, our model reveals the effect of this key factor in predicting mortality following HIV infection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Ann McDonald for sharing the data from

National Centre in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research.

We thank the National Centre in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research (NCHECR), Faculty of Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia, for sharing data for the analysis in this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Therneau TM, Grambsch PM. Chapter 5 functional Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2000. p. 87-90
2. Ottenbacher KJ, Ottenbacher HR, Tooth L, Ostir GV. A review of two journals found that articles using multivariable logistic regression frequently did not report commonly recommended assumptions. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2004;57:1147-52.
3. Mazumdar M, Glassman JR. Categorizing a prognostic variable: Review of methods, code for easy implementation and applications to decision-making about cancer treatments. *Stat Med* 2000;19:113-32.
4. Williams BA, Mandrekar JN, Mandrekar SJ, Cha SS, Furth AF. Finding optimal cutpoints for continuous covariates with binary and timetoevent outcomes. Technical report available at: <http://www.mayoresearch.mayo.edu/mayo/research/biostat/upload/79.pdf> [Last accessed on 2006].
5. Hollander N, Schumacher M. Estimating the functional form of a continuous covariate's on survival time. *Comput Stat Data Anal* 2006;50:1131-51.
6. Sauerbrei W, Meier-Hirmer C, Benner A, Royston P. Multivariate regression model building by using fractional polynomials: Description of SAS, STATA and R programs. *Comput Stat Data Anal* 2006;50:3464-85.
7. Lau B, Gange SJ, Kirk GD, Moore RD. Evaluation of human immunodeficiency virus biomarkers: Inferences from interval and clinical cohort studies. *Epidemiology* 2009;20:664-72.
8. Mellors JW, Margolick JB, Phair JP, Rinaldo CR, Detels R, Jacobson LP, *et al.* Prognostic value of HIV-1 RNA, CD4 cell count, and CD4 Cell count slope for progression to AIDS and death in untreated HIV-1 infection. *JAMA* 2007;297:2349-50.
9. Nakhaee F. Modelling survival following HIV and AIDS in Australia (PhD thesis), University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; 2007; Chapter 2, P:16.
10. Egger M, May M, Chêne G, Phillips AN, Ledergerber B, Dabis F, *et al.* Prognosis of HIV-1-infected patients starting highly active antiretroviral therapy: A collaborative analysis of prospective studies. *Lancet* 2002;360:119-29.
11. García de Olalla P, Knobel H, Carmona A, Guelar A, López-Colomés JL, Caylà JA. Impact of adherence and highly active antiretroviral therapy on survival in HIV-infected patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2002;30:105-10.
12. Poundstone KE, Chaisson RE, Moore RD. Differences in HIV disease progression by injection drug use and by sex in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS* 2001;15:1115-23.
13. Schwarcz SK, Hsu LC, Vittinghoff E, Katz MH. Impact of protease inhibitors and other antiretroviral treatments on acquired immunodeficiency syndrome survival in San Francisco, California, 1987-1996. *Am J Epidemiol* 2000;152:178-85.
14. Baillargeon J, Grady J, Borucki MJ. Immunological predictors of HIV-related survival. *Int J STD AIDS* 1999;10:467-70.
15. Baillargeon J, Borucki M, Black SA, Dunn K. Determinants of survival in HIV-positive patients. *Int J STD AIDS* 1999;10:22-7.
16. Hastie T, Sleeper L, Tibshirani R. Flexible covariate effects in the proportional hazards model. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1992;22:241-50.
17. Nakhaee F, Black D, Wand H, McDonald A, Law M. Changes in mortality following HIV and AIDS and estimation of the number of people living with diagnosed HIV/AIDS in Australia, 1981-2003. *Sex Health* 2009;6:129-34.
18. Royston P, Altman DG. Regression using fractional polynomials of continuous covariates: Parsimonious parametric modelling (with discussion). *Appl Statist* 1994;43:429-67.
19. Royston P, Sauerbrei W. Chapter 4: Fractional Polynomials for One Variable Multivariable Model Building: A Pragmatic Approach to Regression Analysis Based on Fractional Polynomials for Modelling Continuous Variables. Chichester: John Wiley; 2008. p. 75-6.
20. R: A language and environment for statistical computing [computer program]; 2007. available from <http://www.r-project.org/>
21. Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. *BMJ* 2006;332:1080
22. MacCallum RC, Zhang S, Preacher KJ, Rucker DD. On the practice of dichotomization of quantitative variables. *Psychol Methods* 2002;7:19-40.
23. Ambler G, Royston P. Fractional polynomial model selection procedures: Investigation of type one error rate. *J Stat Comput Simul* 2001;69:89-108.
24. Royston P, Sauerbrei W. Building multivariable regression models with continuous covariates in clinical epidemiology: With an emphasis on fractional polynomials. *Methods Inf Med* 2005;44:561-71.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.